

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | F    | ILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|--|------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/039,799   |      | 01/04/2002   | J. Bruce Pitner      | P-5504              | P-5504 9195      |  |  |
| 46851  | 7590 | 02/08/2005   |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |  |
|  |      | LM FERRANO & | TELLER               | TELLER, ROY R       |                  |  |  |
| 2121 K STREET, NW, SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20037 |      |              | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |  |
|  |      |              |                      | 1654                |                  |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 10/039,799  | PITNER ET AL.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Addion   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Roy Teller  | 1654  |   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in all the secondition of the secondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | oid abandonment of this applica<br>) a timely filed amendment which   | ation. A proper reply<br>n places the applica   | y to a<br>tion in   |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe<br>date on which the petition under 37 CFI<br>of extension and the corresponding amo<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or |  |  |  |  |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (s   | see NOTE below);  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in<br>issues for appeal; and/or  | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or sir  | nplifying the   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |   | •   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | ion(s):   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed   | amendment   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  | reconsideration has been consi  | dered but does NO   | T place the   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>  | ause it is not directed SOLELY to   | o issues which were   | e newly   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |   |   | and an  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   | ·   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr   | oved or b) disapproved by the   | ne Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10.  Other:   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | CHRISTOPHER R.<br>PRIMARY EXAMI   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly recited claim limitations would require additional consideration and/or searching..

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER